

# Minnesota MUFON Journal

## Issue #131 May/June 2008

### UFOs and Lady

By Dick Moss, MUFON MN State Dir.

During the past few decades, and possibly earlier, cattle mutilations have occurred in many countries. In the United States it seems that the western part of our country has seen the largest number of mutilations. Countries such as Australia, Brazil, Canada, the Canary Islands, England, Puerto Rico and others have been some of the locations where these seemingly random events have also occurred.

I was told by area high school students long ago that one of the earliest-reported cases of cattle mutilations was about twenty miles from where I live. A few of them also said that area farmers would not talk to anybody about what had happened. Some of them thought of the events in terms of a demonic paradigm and this, in turn, suggested a gnawing fear within these people.

The earliest cattle mutilation reports came from Kansas and Pennsylvania in the 1960s and cattle mutilations were eventually reported in many states. It was soon evident that mutilations involved the removal of body parts. The usual list includes the tongue, lips, ears, eyes, udders, anus, and sexual organs, in other words soft tissue.

While the incisions, removal of body parts, lack of blood both on the ground and inside the animals are legendary, several different hypotheses have been proposed as to who or what might be responsible for these events. The usual suspects include testing by some government agency, satanic cults, and scavengers. Witness exaggerations and sensationalism by the press have helped create confusion about the complex nature of the phenomenon.

The first reported mutilation in 1967 near Alamosa, Colorado involved a mare named Lady, although for some reason its often-reported name was said to be Snippy. Lady had been missing for a couple of days but was found not far from the house and a photo of the mutilated mare appeared in many magazines at the time. She was a gruesome sight with virtually every shred of flesh gone from the lower part of the neck on up through the face. No blood was seen on Lady or on the ground and there were no signs of scavenging on Lady's body. Also, there were no tracks of any kind other than those of Snippy's owner, Harry King. Some accounts maintained that a strong smell of medicine or some other organic compound was detected.

Mundane explanations were offered including such things as a lightning strike, the work of cults, and government agency testing apparently in all of the countries where incidents had occurred. The "strangeness index" of the phenomenon was often so high that early speculation of alien involvement was the inevitable first hypothesis.



### Minnesota Mufon Meetings

**Sat., May 10<sup>th</sup> & Sat., June 14<sup>th</sup>  
1:30pm - 5pm**

**New Brighton Family  
Service Center  
400 - 10th St. NW  
(located 1/4-mile S.W.  
of Hwy 694 and 35W.)**

**PARK FREE!  
The building is designated as  
non-smoking.**

**See map on back cover  
(Note: The building has no  
special security, so you can  
come and go as you please  
and smoke outdoors. There  
is also an elevator.)**

### In this Issue:

- *UFO's and Lady* - Page 1
- *Do we collaborate?* - Page 2
- *CE4 Corner* - Page 4
- *Secret Law* - Page 5
- *Secret UN UFO Meetings* - Page 6
- *FAA Silences Air Traffic Controllers* - Page 9
- *ET Terror Tactics* - Page 10
- *Clinton UFO Endorsement* - Page 11
- *New French UFO Service* - Page 11
- *Michio Kaku on UFO Science* - Pg12
- *Minnesota UFO Reports* - Page 13
- *Keyhoe Interview* - Page 14
- *MUFON-BlackVault Partners* - Pg14
- *UFO Knowledge Test* - Page 14
- *MN MUFON Info* - Page 15
- *Meeting Map* - Page 16

What follows is but one example.

There was a case in New Mexico, investigated by both the FBI and police, of a bull from which the rectum and sex organs had been removed with obvious surgical precision that was not consistent with death caused by predators. The heart and liver were white and with the consistency of peanut butter. Tests showed that the liver lacked copper. But, it was found to contain several times the usual levels of zinc, potassium and phosphorous. Its blood was a pink color and did not clot after several days. Its hide became brittle. Cattle in the herd became restless and predators typically refused to feed on mutilated remains. Observations and test results like these hardly suggest a mundane explanation.

For at least some cattle mutilations, though, there is video evidence that birds and predators do pick away at soft tissue on a dead animal and the areas that are eaten seem to take the shape of a circular hole. However, it has been claimed that no other animals will approach one that has been mutilated. An organic or medicine-like odor is sometimes noticeable at mutilation sites.

In Lady's case, over a dozen irregularly-shaped black spots were found on the nearby ground and a bush had somehow been flattened around which was a twenty-foot wide smoothed-out circular area. A Geiger-counter sweep found that there was an area of radioactivity surrounding Lady. It was at its largest intensity a ways away from Lady and it decreased as the instrument was carried towards her. The radioactivity increased again as it was moved away from the horse. If these readings were accurate they indicate something about the distribution of radioactive sources within the craft itself. Some of Lady's tracks were also found near her body and it appeared that she had, at some point, been jumping around in a circle before walking to the spot where she died.

There are many articles concerning Lady. In addition to entire books and chapters in others, there have been many magazine and newspaper articles plus videos during past decades that have provided information about the animal mutilation phenomenon. They are ongoing events of wonder and amazement and Texas has experienced at least a few in recent months.

Helpful sources for a study of mutilations would be early editions of UFO magazines. If the reader could obtain copies of any of the UFO magazines that were published three or four decades ago it would be well worth the effort. Used book stores seem to be the best place to look. Many early authors went on to make important contributions to the UFO literature. Seek them out before the pages become brown and totally brittle.

### **The Elite Agenda on UFO Disclosure: Do we Collaborate?**

**by Ed Komarek, The Canadian**

<http://www.agoracosmopolitan.com/home/Frontpage/2008/03/29/02311.html>

There has been quite a bit of debate recently within the UFO/ET community as a whole and exopolitics in particular about cooperation with mainstream media's seemingly orchestrated UFO/ET disclosure process. Some folks believe that they need to tone down the extraterrestrial angle so as to play into the mainstream media's disclosure or propaganda operation as an initial first step toward public recognition of exopolitical realities.

Other folks believe collaboration with mainstream media is similar to collaboration with an occupying power and that collaboration only strengthens the occupier's position and weakens the resistance to occupation. I am reminded of the struggle during World War Two in occupied France between the Resistance and Nazi collaborators.

Some of the French people decided to collaborate with the Nazis and accepted occupation as a reality that was there to stay and decided to cooperate so as to ease their suffering under occupation. Other French people argued against this and created the resistance that eventually helped free their country from the tyranny of the Nazi occupation.

Over the past several months there seems to have been a shift in tactics by the mainstream news and entertainment propagandists in regards to UFO/ET realities promoting a more open acceptance that UFOs are indeed real and that what they really are is unknown.

This would represent a change of propaganda policy instituted at the highest levels of government and supported by the special interests that control government and who own most of the mainstream media. These new developments encourage more

people in our field to seek to cooperate with those that maintain the UFO/ET cover-up in the hope that this is a first step toward full eventual disclosure.

The apparent shift of tactics by the propaganda press from flat out denial and ridicule to a more benign acceptance of UFOs is sure to continue and expand the debate between those that favour collaboration and those that favour resistance. It is certainly a step in the right direction that much of the mainstream media seems to be cutting back on the use of depreciating words and phrases like, "UFO buffs", believers, crackpots, amateurs, conspiracy theorists, lack of evidence, lack of credentials etc. we should not let our guard down. The propaganda game may only be moving from denial to spin where the occupiers continue to remain in the driver's seat gaining strength as more collaborators hop on board.

Many of the public are now becoming aware and understand that entrenched special interests have gained secret control over global governments, mainstream media and finance. This secret elitist control could be rightly called an occupying power. Even more disturbing yet much harder for the general public to understand are the many reports now coming to light of secret extraterrestrial alliances and treaties between these various very secretive and deceptive elitist factions.

It would appear that secret alliances have been made not with just one ET race but with several different races of a similar predatory mindset as the entrenched interests that govern earth in secret and behind closed doors. This should come as no surprise as it seems to be a universal law that like attracts like. Such an alleged alliance between ET predatory special interests and earth human predatory special interests could be the driving force behind the covert implementation of a sophisticated global Orwellian world order.

So if the above is indeed true, then we could say that we are experiencing a secret covert gradual occupation and takeover by as yet unknown extraterrestrial powers aided and abetted by our own home grown elitists. If this is true this would certainly be high treason of the most serious and dangerous kind putting all of humanity at risk of enslavement and eventual overt occupation.

Many would wonder how can we fight against this kind of sophisticated very advanced

psychological and technological attack. Fortunately there is hope because the universe seems to be a very diverse place where evolutionary processes of competition and cooperation play out in many diverse ways just as here on earth.

I have taken it upon myself to identify and focus on those extraterrestrial races that favour cooperation over competition and predation. I am well aware of the predatory ET races and their treasonous global entrenched autocratic allies. However I feel hope and salvation from such an enveloping dark future lies with the more cooperative and ethical races particularly the celestial humans in which we seem to have much in common.

The best that I can tell is that some of the celestial humans, the same as recorded in ancient religious texts in both the east and in the west, are not party to and do not cooperate in the covert enslavement of humanity. Perhaps religious people may be the first to catch on to the overall state of affairs because sacred texts like the bible outline the struggle between cosmic intelligences of both predatory and cooperative nature. In the end times the struggle comes to a head with the predatory both exposed and defeated.

The problem is that the Bible was written thousands of years ago and extraterrestrial reality was perceived in a more primitive way than in our modern enlightened scientific time. The Bible seems to have gotten it right but now needs to be updated and brought into alignment with modern scientific discoveries. What was known in the past as the heavenly host can be more accurately described as the extraterrestrials of modern times. Just as in the past, the struggle between cooperative ET species and predatory ET species continues as to the fate of earth and its humanity continues to remain in the balance.

We each have to make our individual decisions as to cooperate or resist the enveloping Orwellian occupation. In order to do that we need to understand the environment in which we are operating and choose accordingly. It's a fine line between cooperating in a public disclosure process being orchestrated by an occupying power and not having our actions strengthen that covert occupying power. As UFO/ET disclosure and its spin progresses we all have to continuously monitor the situation and adjust our actions accordingly. The last thing we want to do as the collaborators in France did, is strengthen the occupier.

**The CE4 Corner**  
**©2008 by Craig R. Lang, MS CHt**

**May/June, 2008, Sometimes you see it, sometimes you don't**

A witness in south Minneapolis watches as a gigantic object passes directly over downtown. In another event, a witness stands in awe as he watches a huge black triangle passing overhead, slowly passing over a well-traveled highway during rush hour. In yet another case, a witness watches out the window of her apartment as a gigantic UFO hovers over a popular retail strip in Minneapolis—again, with few or no other people observing it. And the list goes on...

These cases and so many more like them, remind me of one of the biggest mysteries in the UFO phenomenon, that of selective viewability of the UFO. So often, the witness(es) to a spectacular sighting observe an object at close range. In many cases, it is obvious, in your face, hovering over a populated area—and yet, few if any others nearby observe the whatever-it-is. In high-tech terms, this phenomenon might be called something like "optical stealth." In effect, sometimes you see it, sometimes you don't.

Often, the actual witness to the object either is an encounter experiencer, or is somehow sensitive to the extraordinary, or both. In the case of the witness I recently interviewed, who observed the object over downtown Minneapolis, she appears to be an abductee, and this sighting may be the tip of a deeper missing time experience. My case files, and the case files of many other investigators, are replete with such cases; the person who is about to be, or just was, abducted is able to see the object at close range, while others nearby cannot.

In another case, described in [The Cosmic Bridge](#), the primary witness and her mother were driving on a well-traveled major highway in the Minneapolis northern suburbs. They suddenly observed a large black triangular-shaped UFO moving slowly overhead at slightly above treetop level. They pulled their car off the road and watched as the huge object passed slowly over them. At the same time, other motorists on the highway drove past the scene, apparently oblivious to the object.

Once again, I am struck by this same pattern; the witness and his/her companion observe the object - the object is huge and obvious, in a spot where it

couldn't possibly be missed - there is/may-be missing time, then the object zips away. There are a few (but usually more than one) witnesses. Yet where the object was, there should have been thousands of witnesses.

In many of these cases, the sighting witness actually has more to his/her story than first meets the ear. In one recent case, the witness observed an object at close range as she was driving on I35W, heading into downtown Minneapolis. She and her boyfriend saw a UFO "up close and personal..." [CL Note: my term, borrowed from yet another experiencer]. They watched the UFO approach, hover over a nearby area just south of the downtown skyscrapers, and then zip away. As I talked further with her, I learned that they were apparently late getting to their destination—so the plot thickens.

In another case, the witness and three of his friends were playing doubles tennis on a commonly used tennis court. At one point, he paused and looked upward, where, he observed a large, classic-looking flying saucer hovering over a nearby building. He attempted to call it to his friends' attention, without success [Note: in addition, he appeared to become invisible/imperceptible to his companions, see the article on my website, [Spontaneous Invisibility, the Mystery We Can Not See](#)]. Later, when I conducted hypnotic regression with him, it turned out that the event was far more involved than he had recalled—a classic abduction event.

What is the factor determining when you see it and when you don't? Is it purely technology of some type? Is it some kind of esoteric factor – perhaps related to PSI abilities? Does an object only appear to the person who is supposed to see it? Or is there some other factor at work, here? As I indicated above, in nearly every case, the witness either was an experiencer, or was a "sensitive" [Note: I use the words in quotes as PSI sensitivity is yet another phenomenon we do not understand]. Does this imply that these people are uniquely able to see an otherwise-hidden object, while others may not be?

In [The Cosmic Bridge](#), I discuss the idea that each sighting is, in some way, a relationship between the witness/experiencer and the phenomenon. The closer the encounter and the higher the strangeness becomes, the deeper and more meaningful the relationship becomes as well. In distant sightings, the relationship may be negligible, while in abduction encounters the relationship is obvious (if mysterious). But the intermediate cases, where the



object is close-in but contact is not overt, is where the relationship becomes a mystery.

Is the sensitivity of the witness the primary factor, or is the phenomenon directly influencing who can see it and who can not? Once again, I do not know the answer to this question. We are limited to anecdotal evidence of an event which, in most cases, has occurred years in the past. Thus, our data is sketchy at best. Yet perhaps we can find a way to determine the sensitivity of the witness and relate that to a possible mechanism by which the object remains undetected to others nearby. If we can work out the hard science behind this relationship, then I believe we will have yet another important clue to the nature and purpose behind the UFO and close encounter phenomenon.

Like the phenomenon itself, the answer to a mystery like this one is elusive. Possible theories exist, and someday they may be provable. Yet today such answers seem out of reach. Truly, for both the phenomenon and for our own glimmers of understanding, sometimes you see it, sometimes you don't.

**SECRET LAW DEBATED IN SENATE  
HEARING - SECRECY NEWS from the  
FAS Project on Government Secrecy  
Vol. 2008, Issue No. 42 April 30, 2008**

Secret law that governs the conduct of government activities but is inaccessible to the public is "a particularly sinister" phenomenon that is "increasingly prevalent," said Senator Russ Feingold today at a hearing of the Senate Judiciary Committee Subcommittee on the Constitution.

The hearing produced a particularly rich record on the subject of secret law from a broad and diverse set of perspectives (including one view that "there is no such thing" as secret law).

In my own testimony, I provided a catalog of the many current forms of "secret law" and some of their objectionable consequences.

"If the rule of law is to prevail, the requirements of the law must be clear and discoverable," I suggested. "Secret law excludes the public from the deliberative process, promotes arbitrary and deviant government behavior, and shields official malefactors from accountability."

<http://www.fas.org/sgp/congress/2008/043008aftergood.pdf>

The classification of the Office of Legal Counsel (OLC) memorandum of torture authored by John Yoo was "one of the worst abuses of the classification process I have seen during my career," testified J. William Leonard, the former director of the Information Security Oversight Office.

More generally, "OLC has been terribly wrong to withhold the content of much of its advice from Congress and the public," said Prof. Dawn E. Johnsen, former head of the OLC, "particularly when advising the executive branch that in essence it could act contrary to federal statutory restraints."

Current OLC director John P. Elwood contended that current OLC disclosure policy "is consistent with the approach of prior Administrations."

Brad Berenson, a former associate counsel to the President, articulated "legitimate interests in secrecy" and cautioned against disclosure initiatives that could have unintended consequences.

Prof. Heidi Kitrosser explained the constitutional framework within which secrecy disputes take place and urged more "effective congressional oversight" to restrain abuses of secrecy.

Attorney David Rivkin, a frequent defender of Administration policies, said that the "law of war" paradigm with all of its attendant secrecy remains the appropriate one.

Sen. Sam Brownback expressed skepticism about new disclosure requirements, while Sen. Sheldon Whitehouse probed the destabilizing implications of the Administration view that executive orders can be "waived" by the President without notice to Congress or the public.

The prepared statements from the Senate hearing are available here:

<http://judiciary.senate.gov/hearing.cfm?id=3305>

For all of the differences of opinion, there was also a provisional consensus that the executive branch should be required to report to Congress when it significantly interprets or reinterprets a statutory requirement.

Chairman Feingold announced that the Office of the Director of National Intelligence had notified him that several long-sought opinions of the Office of Legal Counsel concerning interrogation of enemy combatants would be provided to the Senate

Intelligence Committee and possibly, in some form, to the Senate Judiciary Committee. Sen. Feingold said he would continue to seek public disclosure of the opinions, a move that is not currently contemplated by the Administration.

Steven Aftergood, Project on Government Secrecy  
Federation of American Scientists  
web: [www.fas.org/sgp/index.html](http://www.fas.org/sgp/index.html)  
email: [saftergood@fas.org](mailto:saftergood@fas.org)

***[One wonders what 'secret laws' are out there that apply to the UFO subject – Ed.]***



**On the Secret UN UFO Meetings  
By William I. McNeff  
MUFON MN Assist. State Dir.**

I have edited and condensed the following from Robert D. Morningstar, Editor, UFO Digest.  
<http://www.ufodigest.com/news/0308/briefinghoagland.html>

Posted: 12:50 March 7, 2008

Morningstar wrote:

On Thursday, March 06, 2008, I received the following inquiry from Richard C. Hoagland (RCH), founder of The Enterprise Mission, regarding Secret UN UFO Meetings held in New York City on February 12th, and follow-up meetings in the days that followed, February 13th & 14th, 2008.

On Thu, Mar 6, 2008 at 12:21 AM, RCH wrote:

Robert,  
I'm really in the dark about this "UN Meeting."

Do you have ANY truly independent (that's the key detail) evidence that this meeting actually took place?

Do you KNOW that the guy you met with was a) an actual diplomat, and, if he was, wasn't b) a member of the French Intel community (working with the CIA) pulling your leg ... and the "leg" of the "UFO community?"

And, if you have satisfactorily answered these questions in your own mind, what do you think is the "precipitant cause" for international concern over this problem NOW?

We've all been fooled before .... :)  
RCH

On March 7, 2008, Morningstar wrote:

Hello, Richard,

The contact whom I have met with (3 times) is an American diplomat, not a French diplomat.

Our contact is a highly decorated career US military officer, working in the Pentagon in the Dept. of the JCS {Joint Chief's of Staff} and is now assigned to the State Department as a Military Liaison diplomat. He reports to BOTH the Joint Chiefs and the State Department. Bona fide credentials and other documentation were presented to me for review, which I examined and accepted as authentic and they satisfy my concerns. I find him credible and I respect this courageous gentleman, who in accepting this mission from top Pentagon leaders has also accepted some great risks to fulfill his mission.

"Why now?" you ask. What is the "precipitant cause" for this action at this time (after 60 years of public deception)?

During the last year, pressure from foreign governments, Britain, France, Belgium, the Washington DC National Press Club UFO Conference in November and the Stephenville UFO flap with the embarrassing performance of the USAF (bold face lies) has shaken up the longstanding, official UFO policy in the Pentagon and new people are in charge. The US government has also been given a timetable for disclosure by the ETs to prepare our people for open contact by 2017..."or else."

We first met on February 18th, '08. During our 3rd meeting in 3 weeks (February 18th & 26th, March 3rd), I was briefed last Monday on the results of a fact-finding mission that he had just completed, visiting NATO HQ, European capitals and the Middle East.

Britain, France and Italy will stand with US but their attitude is "You go FIRST!" But Germany, Spain, Turkey and Saudi Arabia are adamant and hostile to the US plan to Disclose.

We (Clay and Shawn Pickering and I) were asked to notify the public and to help organize the UFO community to put pressure on the politicians to give the military leadership "the official orders" that they need to proceed in a constitutional manner to disclose the ET presence.

In the Pentagon, the Joint Chiefs of Staff are not happy with an archaic secrecy policy that has cost them the respect, credibility and support of the American public over the years and they blame the politicians (pawns of economists, oil moguls and bankers) for that.

They want to disclose the UFO presence to restore public confidence in our government and military, but as honorable, constitutional officers, loyal to their oaths to support and defend the Constitution, they will not act unilaterally, unconstitutionally (to mutiny) at great risk to their careers and their lives to do so. The same applies to NATO officers contacted during the fact-finding mission.

Another problem lies with the Russians who have been chasing UFOs across Russian skies for years. In keeping with their historic proclivity for paranoia, the Russians suspect that the UFOs that they are chasing over "Mother Russia" are products of advanced American technology, intruding into their sacrosanct, maternal airspace.

While this was true about U-2, that is not our "Space Junk" spooking Russian skies and pilots today. Alleviating Russian fears was one of the primary reasons for convening the closed-door UN meeting of February 12th, to reassure the Russians (and other countries) officially through our military liaison diplomat that the UFOs to be seen in their national airspace are NOT ours.

Our highest-ranking military leaders feel that the 60-year old NSA/CIA national security paradigm (Above Top Secret) policy is now detrimental to

national security because it has resulted (on the part of the pro-secrecy intelligence factions) in political actions that are unconstitutional and they are opposed to going any farther in that direction.

Therefore, they have asked us (you are included) to bring public pressure to bear on our political leaders to give the military orders officially to do what they need (and want) to do, i.e. stop lying to the American public and to disclose the reality of the alien presence(s) to the nation and the world.

The USG is also under pressure from the aliens, themselves, who have given it a timetable: 2013 for establishing diplomatic relations, and 2017 for open contact before the world-at-large.

If disclosure is not forthcoming, the alien presence will act unilaterally and force Disclosure on their terms, which may take the form described by Arthur C. Clarke in "Childhood's End," i.e. parking their Flying Saucers over capital cities around the world.

Fear not. This action will not be anything like an "Independence Day" attack but an unmistakable sign that would be demonstrated over perhaps 3 other nations, for example, New Zealand, Brazil and South Africa, or worse, Venezuela, North Korea or another 3rd World nation, like Uganda.

I told our diplomat that such an action on the part of "The Coming Race" would demote the US in stature, diminishing our credibility and prestige in the eyes of the world while elevating the international status and prestige of whichever countries they choose for this demonstration. Our diplomat expressed the undesirable prospects of watching Caesar Chavez of Venezuela or Kim Jung Il of North Korea delightedly welcoming Alien ships over Caracas or Pyongyang and boasting on the world stage (Live on CNN), using the event as proof of the superiority of the their regimes (as Chosen Ones) to strengthen their own fame, power and prestige as the first allies "chosen" by the arriving extraterrestrials.

Unilateral Disclosure on the part of the alien presence is what I feel has actually been happening for the past 2 years with open manifestations (flyovers) of Mexico, Britain, Canada, Peru, Argentina and, of course, Stephenville, Texas in January '08.

Few people (except Canadian UFO researcher, Don Ledger) seem to have noticed that the UFOs seen by Steven Allen and Ricky Sorrels over Stephenville were flying in close proximity, just a few miles from the Bush Ranch (Texas White House).

How far is "a few miles" when traveling at 3,000 mph (as reported by pilot, Steven Allen)? Now we understand why the USAF scrambled 10 F-16s to chase "something that doesn't officially exist"...

Shades of Washington, DC July 1952!

This is what I meant by "or else". Disclosure is being FORCED by the alien presence manifesting itself openly in our skies and around the world.

I view my role (working with Clay and Shawn Pickering) as being called upon to help prepare our own citizens (and our allies) for Disclosure on a psychological level in order to avoid a general panic of an Orson Wells effect and "culture shock" when disclosure happens, whichever way "IT comes DOWN."

Independent Corroboration Comes from France

As for "independent" corroboration, a French auditor, Gilles Lorant, who was luckily present at UN meetings on February 13-14th has independently confirmed those UFO-related follow-up meetings, which included a presentation of facts by representatives of the USAF and the National Guard.

Gilles Lorant has identified others who attended the UN UFO meetings of February 13th & 14th, including Srgiam Kerim of Macedonia, the current President of the General Assembly, who chaired the meetings.

In an interview with French UFOlogist Gilles Bourdais in Paris on March 4th, '08, Mr. Lorant noted that the British representative, UK ambassador, Sir John Sawyers and the Vatican representative, Archbishop Celestino Migliore, were among the 28 representatives at the UN meetings, which discussed UFOs.

Most significantly, Gilles Lorant stated that the UN action was taken as a result of calls for action made by 3 (as yet unnamed) US Senators.

My guess (simply, a suspicion) is that the motion for action by the UN may have come from US

Senators from Arizona (due to the Phoenix UFO Incident) and from Texas (due of the earth-shaking Stephenville sightings in January '08).

If my guess is right, at least one of our Presidential candidates may well be concerned with this issue and involved behind the scenes in changing the course of history, breaking ground in new directions.

Last November in Washington, DC, I met with former-Governor Fife Symington, an ex-USAF officer, during the NPC UFO Conference. I expressed my feelings candidly on the subjects of official government secrecy and Disclosure to the governor who, himself, described being an eyewitness to the sight of a nearly mile-wide triangular UFO, flying slowly over the capital of his state.

Ex-Governor Symington spoke in agreement (with the other National Press Club panelists from across the world) that current US government policies of denial and disinformation must stop and that Disclosure is advisable.

Mr. Symington explained honestly and assertively in detail, the need for "comic relief" (dressing his aide in an ET costume) that he felt compelled to use in order to assuage public anxiety after the Phoenix UFO incident and the fear of national ridicule in the media, a waste-product (my term) of the current policy of official government secrecy. The Phoenix incident cost Governor Symington dearly in credibility and even more politically.

As a former US Naval Aviator, Senator John McCain (R-Arizona) is the most qualified person among the Presidential candidates, with the qualifications, political stature and acumen necessary to change the current USG policy from UFO Secrecy to Disclosure.

Another personal contact at the UN, a member of an officially recognized NGO (non-governmental organization), has informed me of a "big buzz" going around amongst the UN bureaucrats and a general excitement, as well as, "nervousness" on the part of some, at the UN as this process unfolds.

For the foregoing reasons, I have given my support to this planned push for UFO Disclosure. I've agreed to help "prep the public" psychologically and to act politically to bring pressure to bear on the "politicos."



By the way, the ETs involved in this Disclosure, whom I have dubbed "The Coming Race," are not "The Greys." They are "Other."

This is good...We need help.

I will send you more details and information as the situation develops.

Robert M\*  
New York City  
March 7th, 2008  
--

RCH is the recipient of the Angstrom Medal, former science advisor to CBS News and Walter Cronkite, author of "The Monuments of Mars," co-creator of the "Pioneer Plaque," originator of the "Europa Proposal," and principal investigator of The Enterprise Mission.

**UFO Alert: FAA Silences Air Traffic  
Controllers and Hoax Theory has  
Problems by Bill Knell**  
**UFO Magazine Iss. # 304, 04-28-08**  
<http://www.ufocasebook.com/042808.html>

On Monday, April 21, 2008, odd red lights appeared in the sky over Phoenix, Arizona. Video and photos were taken and Air Traffic Controllers in the main tower at Sky Harbor International Airport saw them. The FFA says that the lights did not appear on radar and weren't a threat to any air traffic, so no action was taken. However, the FAA has issued a statement saying that they will not allow the Air Traffic Controllers to talk about what they saw.

According to regional FAA Spokesperson Ian Gregor, it's against agency policy to allow the ATCs to discuss what they saw. The Phoenix New Times reported the story about the silencing of the Sky Harbor Air Traffic Controllers. They have already filed an official request to receive any documents or recordings that contain statements about the lights by the ATCs.

When asked what the FAA plans to do about the appearance of the lights in the sky over Phoenix on Monday night, FAA Spokesperson Ian Gregor said, "There is nothing to investigate." This is in direct opposition to the FAA policy to investigate anything and everything strange that might be reported in the sky over the USA. That policy has been in place since the terrorist attacks of 9/11/01.

Just a day after the lights were spotted, the Arizona Republic newspaper reported that Phoenix resident Lino Mailo claimed his neighbor launched several helium balloons with flares on them. Lilo says the balloons went up in the sky from his neighbor's yard around 8pm on Monday night. A Phoenix Police Helicopter Pilot also says that he saw what he believed were helium balloons with flares hanging from them. Despite these claims, there are some problems with the helium balloons explanation.

After hearing the hoax story, I contacted a friend who lives in Phoenix. He agreed to try and reach Mailo or his neighbor. Since the article came out on Tuesday, attempts to contact Lino Mailo have failed. He does not answer his door and his neighbor wasn't home when my friend stopped by on two occasions. In fact, people living two houses down from Mailo say that they know Lino's next store neighbor and he was not home on Monday night. They claim they were out in their own backyard that evening and would have seen balloons with flares being launched. They also agreed to ask him about the flare story when they next see or speak with him.

By contrast, a number of witnesses have come forward to say that jets took off from Luke Air Force Base on Monday night. Luke still claims they had no aircraft in the sky that evening. Further, I asked Kelly Atwood, a professional photographic software expert, to take a look at the video and photos from 4/21/08 lights event. She has extensive experience examining UFO photos for legitimacy and has been able to identify many hoaxes involving balloons, dry cleaning bags and flares.

Kelly says that the lights were too bright and the wrong color to be flares. She also states that the video does not show the normal affect that flares exhibit as they burn. Instead, the lights resemble objects that would have been much larger with a steady source of illumination. Kelly lives in phoenix, but was working on Monday night and didn't see the lights.

Apart from the holes in the hoax story, the FAA issues and Luke Air Force Base denials that jets were in the air when residents living near Luke say jets taking off, there are other things that point to the fact that strange lights have been back over Phoenix for some time. All told, there have been hundreds of reports about strange lights and

unidentified objects in the Phoenix area since the original March 13, 1997 infamous 'Phoenix Lights' event. Many of the reports include photos, video, and come from credible witnesses. Source & references: <http://www.opednews.com>

## **Extraterrestrial Terror Tactics**

**Ed Komarek, April 18, 2008**

<http://www.americanchronicle.com/articles/58953>

Last night I happened to wake up in the middle of the night and turned on Coast to Coast with Art Bell and listened to Art interviewing Whitley Strieber about his new book. The discussion I listened to revolved around human and cattle mutilations and other horrible acts attributed to extraterrestrials. Art and Whitley kept pondering amongst themselves as to why this was happening. I thought to myself, they still don't get it.

Many years ago I wrote some material that still can be found on the Internet. In it I pondered why would these ET's be so obvious and blatant in these actions. Why were people and animals being taken up in ships, mutilated and then dropped back where they easily could be found? Last night Whitley was talking about cases where human mutilated bodies were even found on top of houses.

It became obvious to me years ago that mutilations were simply a form of communication no different than that of crop circles. The only difference was that human and animal mutilations were meant to communicate and instill fear and helplessness in the intended target and crop circles were meant to instill a sense of hope, beauty, clarity and love.

These very different communication strategies are strong evidence of great ethical diversity in the universe. It is very important that we have an enlightened extraterrestrial policy that favors ethical ET interactions rather than unethical interactions as is presently the case.

What has surprised me is that UFO/ET researchers like Whitley Strieber, David Jacobs, Linda Howe and Budd Hopkins don't seem to be able to grasp this simple concept. It's as if they are being brainwashed to ignore this concept so vital to their work. These folks will surely argue vehemently that they are not the ones being brainwashed at all and it is those like me that are.

People are propagandized and brainwashed through repetition of lies and partial truths and so it stands to reason that the repetition of truth over and over again will have the desired opposite positive effect. With this in mind I am going to repeat myself on this again and again until the idea gains some traction amongst these researchers. Of course it is just not these researchers in the civilian domain who fail to make this simple connection but autocrats in government as well. The truth will set you free but your fear will just as surely bind you.

Fear is a fundamental factor in the creation and maintenance of autocracy. Autocracy is a system based on reward and punishment. Subjects obey their masters because they fear punishment and desire rewards. Why should predatory extraterrestrials act any differently toward us than we act amongst ourselves?

In ET cases regarding fearsome and horrible acts it is clear to me that such acts are meant to instill fear and terror amongst both civilians and military causing them to think and act in ways that are not in the best interest to them or to humanity as a whole. When people are fearful not only are they easy to manipulate or herd, but they also do not see and act with clarity. Fear, anger and despair obstruct the situational awareness necessary to avoid predation. We can see this not only in human society but all around us in nature as well.

What these colonizing predatory unethical extraterrestrials are doing to us is no different than how whales herd fish through fear and intimidation. The message behind these mutilations and other horrible acts target autocratic leadership. The message to these leaders is, get defensive, don't think, follow your basic survival instincts, form a herd, cover up the truth, destroy democracy and head right down that road to colonization. It's a predatory strategy that is working very well.

A bright future belongs to the fearless not the fearful. The way to our personal and collective salvation is to openly face and overcome our fear. Full and open disclosure of extraterrestrial reality is the only way out of this mess. But disclosure will only be the beginning because those that would hold dominion over humanity and the earth both terrestrial and extraterrestrial will not give up their hold on humanity easily. The game will move from cover up to fearful spin.

Maybe if I keep repeating myself on this over and over maybe these ideas that the road to colonization is fundamentally based on fear will gain some traction amongst those fearful of extraterrestrial reality. President Roosevelt was right in stating, "There is nothing to fear but fear itself."

We can't have an enlightened extraterrestrial policy or a bright future unless we become fearless and take clear positive actions on our own behalf. We need national and global democratic leadership that is truthful, open and fearless not secret, deceptive and fearful. Nothing good can come from an environment that fosters secrecy, fear and lies.

We have to change the nature of the game not try to play the predatory deception game better than the adversary. We have to be appreciating, loving, and honest with each other and turn the climate of fear into one of love and understanding. It's the only way and the more ethical extraterrestrials will help us do that if we would only face and surmount our fear. In his own roundabout way I believe this is what Whitley Strieber is trying to communicate through his books.

### **Clinton draws UFO endorsement Billy Cox, April 17, 2008**

<http://www.heraldtribune.com/article/20080417/BLOG32/14712974/-1/newssitemap>

With Hillary Clinton's presidential campaign wheezing, it would be logical to assume the New York senator would appreciate every endorsement she can get. But it ain't necessarily so.

This weekend in Gaithersburg, Md., just outside the nation's capital, the only registered congressional lobbyist advocating UFO disclosure hearings -- Steve Bassett -- is staging his annual X-Conference. Its keynote speaker is Apollo astronaut Edgar Mitchell, who has long pressed for declassification of government UFO data and has labeled the enduring cover-up as potentially "a case of criminal culpability."

But undoubtedly the most annoying X-Conference speaker to a Clinton-bashing law firm called Judicial Watch is a guy named Grant Cameron.

JW, which kept President Clinton's lawyers busy in the '90s with bimbo-scandal litigation on behalf of Gennifer Flowers and Paula Jones, is attempting to scour the Clinton Presidential Library for more ammo in case the senator gets the Democratic

nomination. JW is apoplectic over the idea that Cameron (a Canadian!) managed to file Freedom of Information Act requests with the library before it did. Which means JW is having to wait in line behind him. Which is galling because Cameron is digging around for UFO material, not pantyhose skank.

So the conservative outfit whose motto is "Because No One Is Above the Law" has resorted to suing the National Archives, which manages the library records, into changing the rules so it can shove the Winnipeg UFO researcher aside. Last month, a federal judge noted JW's "hyperbolic" tone but allowed its attorneys to forge ahead and make its case.

Cameron, whose research so far has uncovered evidence that President Clinton and the first lady met with at least one big-time UFO disclosure advocate, has been urging readers to support the existing first come first served rules by contacting [clinton.library@nara.gov](mailto:clinton.library@nara.gov). Otherwise, he writes on his <http://www.presidentialufo.com> Web site, JW's bottomless vendetta "will tie up the archivists at the Clinton Library for years."

Recently, after analyzing the candidates, Cameron endorsed Hillary Clinton as the most likely contender to tackle UFO disclosure if her hand were ever forced. "She ... would be the most fearless individual to find and take on the secret UFO cabal," he writes, "to swim upstream on a controversial issue such as UFOs, or to fire people until she got to the bottom of the cover-up."

The senator will thank him publicly just as soon as Mahmoud Ahmadinejad visits Jerusalem. But Cameron's on the Clintons' radar now. And it's safe to say they're paying attention. **[Whoever you decide to vote for: Caveat Emptor – Ed.]**

### **Creation of a European service for the study of UFOs - Jean-Charles Duboc, 17Apr2008**

<http://speakup-europe.blogactiv.eu/2008/04/17/creation-of-a-european-service-for-the-study-of-ufos/>

The UFO phenomenon is formally studied in France by the "Information and study group on unidentified aerospace phenomena" (GEIPAN) at the Centre National d'Etudes Spatiales (CNES):

[Http://www.cnes-geipan.fr/](http://www.cnes-geipan.fr/)

This service was established, in 1977, by Dr. Claude Poher who has been at the head of several European space programs and who has developed the Universons scientific theory which can explain the fantastic acceleration, between 200g and 600g, of some UFOs.

In April 2007, Dr. Claude Poher was able to produce, in his laboratory, artificial gravity:  
[Http://www.universons.com/](http://www.universons.com/)

This discovery is now public and has been presented to the National Press Club in Washington CD, on November 12, 2007:  
[Http://www.freedomofinfo.org/national\\_press.htm](http://www.freedomofinfo.org/national_press.htm)

The discovery of Dr. Claude Poher is the solution to the energy dead-end of Europe because it will generate FREE ENERGY, in fact unlimited and non-polluting energy.

My proposal is to create, in Toulouse, with the GEIPAN service, a European service which will bring together scientists from each country of the European Union.

This service will centralize all UFO observations reported in Europe, but also explore all technological applications of the production of artificial gravity, in the fields of energy, social programs, health care, and development of new spatial transportation technologies.

### **Prof Michio Kaku on the science behind UFOs and time travel**

To call oneself an informed member of 21st century society, one needs to have a working knowledge of not only science in general, but the frontiers of science, since that is where fundamental changes in the society will most likely originate.

Here is an excerpt from The Telegraph, a leading British newspaper, from an article by Dr. Michio Kaku. Dr Kaku is a theoretical physicist, best-selling author, and popularizer of science, who is frequently featured on PBS science shows. He's the co-founder of string field theory (a branch of string theory), and continues Einstein's search to unite the four fundamental forces of nature into one unified theory. Much of the article discusses time and time travel, but the most practical part discusses UFOs. Dr. Kaku's viewpoint provides a minimal level of background information on UFOs

that everyone who pretends to be an informed member of society should have.

Dr. Michio Kaku:

Some people claim that extraterrestrials have already visited Earth in the form of UFOs. Scientists usually dismiss the possibility of UFOs because the distances between stars are so vast. But last year the French government released a report by the French National Centre for Space Studies, which included 1,600 UFO sightings spanning 50 years, including 100,000 pages of eyewitness accounts, films and audiotapes. The French government stated that nine per cent of these sightings could be fully explained, that 33 per cent had likely explanations, but that it was unable to follow up on the rest.

The most credible cases of UFOs involve a) multiple sightings by independent, credible eyewitnesses and b) evidence from multiple sources, such as eyesight and radar. For example, in 1986 there was a sighting of a UFO by JAL flight 1628 over Alaska, which was investigated by the Federal Aviation Administration. The UFO was seen by the passengers of the JAL flight and was also tracked by ground radar. Similarly, there were mass radar sightings of black triangles over Belgium in 1989-90 that were tracked by NATO radar and jet interceptors. In 1976, there was a sighting over Tehran, that resulted in multiple systems failures in an F-4 jet interceptor.

We might ask ourselves what kind of spacecraft they would be. Here are some of the characteristics that have been recorded by observers.

- a) They are known to zig-zag in midair;
- b) They have been known to stop car ignitions and disrupt electrical power;
- c) They hover silently.

None of these characteristics fits the description of the rockets we have developed on Earth. For example, all known rockets depend on Newton's third law of motion (for every action, there is an equal and opposite reaction); yet the UFOs cited do not seem to have any exhaust. And the g-forces created by zig-zagging flying saucers would exceed 100 times the gravitational force on Earth - the g-forces would be enough to flatten any creature on Earth. The rest of the story is at:  
[http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/main.jhtml?view=DETAILS&grid=&xml=/earth/2008/03/23/sv\\_michex.xml](http://www.telegraph.co.uk/earth/main.jhtml?view=DETAILS&grid=&xml=/earth/2008/03/23/sv_michex.xml)



## Minnesota UFO Reports

**Navy Veteran Recalls UFO Encounter-USS Blandy DD 943, UFO Magazine Issue # 302, Issue date, 04-14-08**  
<http://www.ufocasebook.com/041408.html>

Nile Kriesel, US Navy Veteran 1959 - 1963  
Stillwater, MN

I was reading the account by Jim Kopf regarding the 1971 encounter involving the USS John Kennedy CVA 67 and it brought to mind a similar encounter in 1960 that I experienced when I was in the US Navy. Unfortunately, time has erased a lot of what I would like to recall such as the exact time of the year, however I can state that what I witnessed was very similar to Mr. Kopf's experience.

My ship, the USS Blandy DD 943, routinely patrolled the Atlantic Ocean off of the East Coast and quite often within the Bermuda Triangle while conducting Anti Submarine Warfare (ASW) exercises. During this one particular cruise in the summer of 1960 (which I am sure took place within the Bermuda Triangle) I was standing the 8 to Mid watch as a Quartermaster when I heard someone yell: "Hey, what the hell is that?" I went outside to the port side wing of the bridge and as I looked upward I saw a large blue light hovering directly above the ship.


Like Mr. Kopf's sighting the light was spherical (i.e., circular) but with no definition or "hard edges" and it was hard to determine exactly how close or large it was but in my mind's eye I recall that it appeared to be fairly close and something like 50 - 75 feet in diameter. Although the light seemed to glimmer, no noise emanated from the light. Also, the light did not seem to have any effect on the ship's electronics, etc., nor did it appear on the ship's radar screen (or at least no one reported that it had, anyway).

This phenomenon lasted about 20-30 seconds and then it started to lift - slowly at first - and then it went upward very fast and then disappeared (it did not seem to go upward for a great distance).

This event took place at about 2200 hours and, as I recall, it was a calm but cloudy and moonless night. I do not remember the names of my fellow sailors who witnessed this event with me (about 6-7 others including the Officer of the Deck) but I am sure that they would remember it as vividly as I do today.

As Quartermaster it was my duty to make a wide variety of entries into the ship's log (such as weather conditions, ships speed, ships heading, changes in the watch, sightings of other ships, etc.) and I recall writing something to the effect that "A strange and unidentified phenomenon had appeared above the ship" in the log book. The date and time of this entry was also recorded.

I still believe it strange that no investigation nor any further discussion or reporting ever took place in regards to this sighting. No one ever told us that "I would like to remind the crew, that certain events that take place aboard a Naval Combatant Ship, are classified and are not to be discussed with anyone without a need to know" as Mr. Kopf related but no one ever told us what it might have been, either. But I remember and somewhere in the Naval Archives is the USS Blandy's ships log that recorded this event.



### **Minnesota Cylinder Lights**

MINNEAPOLIS -- The above is a sketch of the object(s) a witness saw fly over the St. Paul/Minneapolis area 22 years ago. It was a dark foggy night and the witness had just arrived home from work. As he got out of his car to open the garage door he looked over the garage roof and saw it slowly flying by - low and silent. He describes the two bars of lights as looking like old worn neon light bars, with 10 - 12 little strobe-like flashing lights or bulbs flashing very fast in no discernable order.

The flashing lights were much brighter than the main vertical bar lights. His first impression was that they must be attached to the ends of an airliner's wings, but he tried in vain to see anything between the lights. While he was watching them, the set of lights on the right slowly moved left, as if



on a rail, and merged into the lights on the left. They flew along as only one set of lights. After flying a ways as one, the set slowly moved back to the original place on the right, then turned obliquely left and flew out of sight. Witness has searched for 22 years trying to find reports of a similar sighting.

If you know of anything similar, or have an idea of what this could be, please contact me. I'll pass the information along to the witness. Thank you. Lorna Hunter, Field Investigator, MUFON MN [dispost8-mfi@yahoo.com](mailto:dispost8-mfi@yahoo.com)

### **MULTI-WITNESS SIGHTING IN APPLE VALLEY -- FALL 1975 By William I. McNeff**

In the fall of 1975, probably in October, an orange-glowing object, witnessed by a large number of people, flew over the suburbs of Apple Valley and Rosemount. Police pursued the object in their cars, but were outdistanced by it. A primary witness with whom I spoke and one of her friends were frightened by the sight of the object approaching at a apparently low altitude up the street from them, and ran the two blocks to her home, seeking protection. They were in the 9th grade at the time. Her brother, who was a senior in high school, was driving his pickup truck in the area in the company of a girl friend and saw the object also. The brother, however, can not remember exactly where he was driving at the time. The object was also seen in the vicinity of Valley Park. This incident was reportedly documented in the Dakota County Tribune, predecessor to the ThisWeek newspaper. We plan to research this case further. See also: <http://tinyurl.com/3le8zw>

### **The Mike Wallace Interview: Major Donald E. Keyhoe 3/8/1957**

Former Marine Air Corps Major Donald Keyhoe, director of the National Investigations Committee on Aerial Phenomena, conducted an investigation of the existence of Unidentified Flying Objects (UFOs). Keyhoe talks to Wallace about the United States military, reports of UFO sightings, the various theories explaining UFOs, government cover-ups, and the possibility of interplanetary war. [http://www.hrc.utexas.edu/multimedia/video/2008/wallace/keyhoe\\_donald.html](http://www.hrc.utexas.edu/multimedia/video/2008/wallace/keyhoe_donald.html)

### **The MUFON-Black Vault Partnership James Carrion, MUFON Int. Dir.**

MUFON is partnering with John Greenwald to host the MUFON archive of documents that will be made publicly available on the Black Vault web site at: [www.blackvault.com](http://www.blackvault.com). This joint venture is part of John's UFO Wikipedia project. It saves MUFON the time required to get all this content put online and the extra money we would have to pay for storage and bandwidth to host this ourselves. Plus all the additional visitors that visit the black vault will be able to reach MUFON's website through links on John's site. I have a lot of faith in John and he has been a great friend to MUFON. You can see the MUFON Journals already hosted online at: [http://www.theblackvault.com/wiki/index.php/Category:MUFON\\_Journals](http://www.theblackvault.com/wiki/index.php/Category:MUFON_Journals). John will only host the MUFON Journals that are one year or older than the current issues so that paid Journal subscribers do not lose their incentive to join or keep their membership dues current.

### **How Much Do You Know About Flying Saucers? (Take the test and see) Dick Moss, MNMUFON State Dir.**

*(Answers at the end)*

1. A PhD in Ufology was available to interested students at which University in 1980?  
(A) Ohio State (B) Northwestern (C) Purdue  
(D) None of the above
2. Contactees have been known to backdate their first UFO encounter in order to claim that it occurred earlier than anyone else's.  
(T) True (F) False
3. Allan Hendry acted for a while as the Chief Investigator at:  
(A) APRO (B) CUFOS  
(C) NICAP (D) Project Grudge
4. When close to a UFO, automobile malfunctions have sometimes been reported by drivers and passengers.  
(T) True (F) False
5. One of the world's foremost UFO researchers and writers resides in Minnesota. He is:  
(A) Jerome Clark (B) Brad Steiger  
(C) Dan Sherman (D) James Oberg

6. According to a Gallup Poll in 1978, between 80-90% of the U.S. population believed that UFOs were real.

(T) True (F) False

7. No astronomer has ever reported seeing a UFO.

(T) True (F) False

8. During the 1950s a good number of impressive UFO reports were caused by high-altitude Skyhook research balloons.

(T) True (F) False

9. A government-funded project which utilized radio telescopes in an attempt to detect the existence of intelligent ET life was:

(A) Project Ozma (B) Project Twinkle  
(C) Project Grudge (D) Project Bluebook

10. Despite claims to the contrary, UFOs have never been reported on, or near, any US military base or facility.

(T) True (F) False

11. An unexplained increase in the number of UFO sightings over a short period of time is sometimes called a UFO Flap. What term applies to a situation in which a huge number of UFOs are suddenly reported during a short time period?

(A) UFO Intrusion (B) UFO Wave  
(C) UFO Invasion (D) UFO Horning In Syndrome

12. Although a skeptic, Carl Sagan served as astronomical consultant to the U.S. Air Force Project Blue Book from 1960 to 1966.

(T) True (F) False

13. Project Magnet was a government study of UFOs conducted by what foreign nation?

(A) Canada (B) Mexico  
(C) Great Britain (D) None of the above

14. The Minnesota police officer whose patrol car was damaged by a collision with either a UFO, earthlight, of unknown phenomenon was:

(A) Val Jensen (B) Val Johnson  
(C) Lonnie Zamora (D) None of these

15. Who, among the following, was not a UFO skeptic?

(A) Phillip Klass (B) Carl Sagan (C) James Lorenzen  
(D) None of the above, they were all skeptics.

ANSWERS: 1. D 2. T 3. B 4. T 5. A  
6. F 7. F 8. T 9. A 10. F  
11. B 12. F 13. A 14. B 15. C

## Minnesota MUFON

**State Dir.: Richard Moss (320) 732-3205**

**Assist. State Dir., Field Invest. Coord.:**

**Lorna Hunter (320) 297-0205**

**Assist. State Dir.: Bill McNeff (952) 890-1390**

**Journal Editor: Joel Henry, PO Box 240631**

**Apple Valley, MN 55124**

**(952) 431-2426 E-Mail: [mmj@mnmufon.org](mailto:mmj@mnmufon.org)**

## MN MUFON WEB PAGE

<http://www.mnmufon.org> - Joel Henry, Webmaster

**MUFONET: 7.237mhz Sat. at 7:00am CST,  
Bob Shultz, Net Control**


## National MUFON Hotline

To report UFO news, sightings, etc. call 1-800-836-2166

The Minnesota MUFON Journal (MMJ) is a FREE publication available by internet only as a .PDF document. If you send an e-mail request to: [mmj@mnmufon.org](mailto:mmj@mnmufon.org) and I will add you to my e-mail notification list. Or you can just go to: [www.mnmufon.org/mmjpdf.htm](http://www.mnmufon.org/mmjpdf.htm) where you can check for new issues from time to time.

If you know someone who would like the MMJ, but does not have e-mail or internet access, you may print out a copy of the MMJ and give to them as long as you do not charge for it. If you have news or editorial contributions you wish to submit for inclusion please direct your articles or inquiries to the Editor.

**NOTE: Copyrights for the articles in this issue are property of the originator(s) and/or their assignee(s). Articles are reprinted here with permission or are believed to be in the public domain. Permission to use or reprint must be obtained from the original articles author(s).**



Minnesota MUFON Journal  
 Joel Henry, Editor  
 P.O. Box 240631  
 Apple Valley, MN 55124